

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,260	TSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PETER POLTORAK	2134	

All Participants:

(1) PETER POLTORAK.

(2) Shawn Cage.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2134
/Peter Poltorak/
Examiner, Art Unit 2134

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: approx. 12:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative in order to discuss the invention as claimed in light of the newly discovered art. Per applicant request, the new office action, as pertaining to previously presented limitations, is drawn and submitted to applicant for further consideration..